

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,216 11/19/2003		11/19/2003	Scott Salys	A03P1076	9041	
36802	7590	07/05/2005		EXAMINER		
PACESET	•		FAULCON JR, LENWOOD			
15900 VALLEY VIEW COURT SYLMAR, CA 91392-9221			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3762		

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ري
$\overline{}$

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/718,216	SALYS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lenwood Faulcon, Jr.	3762	

	Lenwood	Faulcon, Jr	•	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the	cover she	et with the	correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION	IN CONDIT	ION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies tice of App	: (1) an am eal (with ap	endment, af peal fee) in	fidavit, or other evide compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action that the delay the delay the delay the delay the de	on, or (2) the MONTHS fr	date set forth om the mailin	g date of the final rejec	tion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the ension and the hortened state than three n	the correspondatutory period	nding amount I for reply orig	of the fee. The appropriately set in the final O	oriate extension fee ffice action; or (2) a
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion there	of (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of the appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ol>	nsideration w);	and/or sea	rch (see NO	TE below),	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			·		the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	ing number	Of illiany 16	jected claims.	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>	21. See atta	ached Notic	ce of Non-Co	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if s				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	□ will not vided below	be entered or append	, or b) □ wi	ill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>a</u>	<u>Il</u> rejections	under appe	al and/or appellant t	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the sta	tus of the c	laims after e	entry is below or atta	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  Both the Doan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,456,708) and Ho  the previous rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) via 35 U.S.  the references are statutory bars.	off et al. (U.	S. Patent N	lo. 5,522,87	2) references qualify	as prior art for
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/0	B or PTO-1	449) Paper I	No(s)	
13.  Other:				~ 、	<del>ن</del>
				GEORGE R	. EVANISKO

PRIMARY EXAMINER